

Table 7: page 1 of 2

Table 7. Advantages and Disadvantages of Antiretroviral Components Recommended as Initial Antiretroviral Therapy

ARV Class	Antiretroviral Agent(s)	Advantages	Disadvantages
NNRTIs		<u>NNRTI Class Advantages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less fat maldistribution and dyslipidemia than PI-based regimens • Save PI options for future use 	<u>NNRTI Class Disadvantages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low genetic barrier to resistance (single mutation confers resistance) • Cross resistance among NNRTIs • Skin rash • Potential for CYP450 drug interactions (See Tables 19-21b)
	Efavirenz (preferred NNRTI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potent antiretroviral activity • Low pill burden and frequency (1 tablet per day) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neuropsychiatric side effects • Teratogenic in nonhuman primates, contraindicated in 1st trimester of pregnancy and avoid use in women with pregnant potential
	Nevirapine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No food effect • No evidence of increase adverse hepatic events in women who received single dose nevirapine for prevention of mother to child transmission (PMTCT) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher incidence of rash than with other NNRTIs, including rare but serious hypersensitivity reactions (Stevens-Johnson Syndrome or toxic epidermal necrolysis) • Higher incidence of hepatotoxicity than with other NNRTIs, including serious and even fatal cases of hepatic necrosis • Female patients and patients with high pre-NVP CD4⁺ T cell counts (>250 cells/mm³ in females & >400 cells/mm³ in males) are at a higher risk of symptomatic hepatic events. NVP is not recommended in these patients unless the benefit clearly outweighs the risk.
PIs		<u>PI Class Advantage:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Save NNRTI for future use • Longest prospective study data including data on survival benefit 	<u>PI Class Disadvantages:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metabolic complications - fat maldistribution, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance • CYP3A4 inhibitors & substrates – potential for drug interactions (more pronounced with ritonavir-based regimens) (See Tables 19-21b)
	Lopinavir/ritonavir (preferred PI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potent antiretroviral activity • Co-formulated as Kaletra[®] • Potential for once daily dosing in treatment-naïve patients • No food restriction with oral tablet formulation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gastrointestinal intolerance (higher incidence with once daily than twice daily dosing) • Hyperlipidemia • Preliminary data show lower drug exposure in pregnant women
	Atazanavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less adverse effect on lipids than other PIs • Once daily dosing • Low pill burden (2 pills per day) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia • PR interval prolongation – generally inconsequential unless combined with another drug with similar effect • Reduced drug exposure when used with tenofovir and efavirenz – avoid concomitant use unless combined with RTV (ATV 300mg qd + RTV 100mg qd) • Absorption depends on food and low gastric pH
	Fosamprenavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower pill burden than amprenavir (4 vs. 16 cap per day) • No food effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skin rash
	Fosamprenavir/Ritonavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower pill burden than amprenavir/ritonavir • Once daily regimen in patients with no history of PI failure • No food effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skin rash
	Indinavir/ritonavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RTV-boosting allows for twice-daily instead of 3-times-daily dosing • Eliminates food restriction of indinavir 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential for higher incidence of nephrolithiasis than with IDV alone • High fluid intake required (1.5–2 liters of fluid per day)
	Nelfinavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Favorable safety and pharmacokinetic profile for pregnant women when compared to other PIs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diarrhea • Higher rate of virologic failure when compared to other PIs (LPV/r & fosamprenavir) and efavirenz in clinical trials • Food requirement
	Saquinavir (hgc, sgc, or tablets) + ritonavir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low-dose ritonavir reduces saquinavir daily dose and frequency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gastrointestinal intolerance (hgc or tablets better tolerated than sgc)

Table 7: page 2 of 2

Table 7. Advantages and Disadvantages of Antiretroviral Components Recommended as Initial Antiretroviral Therapy

ARV Class	Antiretroviral Agent(s)	Advantages	Disadvantages
NRTIs		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Established backbone of combination antiretroviral therapy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rare but serious cases of lactic acidosis with hepatic steatosis reported with most NRTIs
Triple NRTI regimen	Abacavir + zidovudine + lamivudine only	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abacavir + zidovudine + lamivudine - Co-formulated as Trizivir® Minimal drug-drug interactions Low pill burden Saves PI & NNRTI for future use 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inferior virologic response when compared to efavirenz-based and indinavir-based regimens Potential for abacavir hypersensitivity reaction
Dual NRTIs: backbone of three or more drug combination therapy	Zidovudine + lamivudine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most extensive and favorable virological experience Co-formulated as Combivir® – ease of dosing No food effect Lamivudine – minimal side effects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bone marrow suppression with zidovudine Gastrointestinal intolerance
	Stavudine + lamivudine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No food effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peripheral neuropathy, lipoatrophy, hyperlactatemia and lactic acidosis, reports of progressive ascending motor weakness, potential for hyperlipidemia with stavudine use Stavudine - Higher incidence of mitochondrial toxicity than with other NRTIs
	Tenofovir + lamivudine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good virologic response when used with efavirenz Once-daily dosing No food effect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenofovir – some reports of renal impairment Interactions with: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> atazanavir – tenofovir reduces atazanavir levels – need to add ritonavir); and didanosine – tenofovir increases didanosine level – need to reduce dose of didanosine
	Abacavir + lamivudine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No food effect Study showing non-inferior to zidovudine + lamivudine as 2-NRTI backbone Once daily dosing Co-formulation (Epzicom®) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential for abacavir systemic hypersensitivity reaction Higher incidence of severe hypersensitivity reactions with once daily dosing as compared to twice daily dosing of Abacavir reported in one study
	Didanosine + lamivudine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Once-daily dosing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peripheral neuropathy, pancreatitis – associated with didanosine Food effect – needs to be taken on an empty stomach Requires dosing separation from most PIs Potential increase in toxicities when used with ribavirin, tenofovir, or hydroxyurea (lower dose of didanosine is recommended when used with tenofovir)
	NRTI + emtricitabine (in place of lamivudine)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Long half-life than lamivudine Once daily dosing Co-formulation with tenofovir (Truvada®) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less experience than lamivudine